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## Motivation: Painlevé equations

2D Ising correlation
Painlevé III (massive scaling limit)
[Wu,McCoy, Tracy,Barouch,'76]

Painlevé equations describe simplest cases of monodromy preserving deformations of linear ODEs with rational coefficients. E.g. Painlevé VI corresponds to rank 2 Fuchsian system with 4 regular singularities at $0, t, 1, \infty$ :

$$
\partial_{z} \Phi=\Phi A(z), \quad A(z)=\frac{A_{0}}{z}+\frac{A_{t}}{z-t}+\frac{A_{1}}{z-1}
$$

Isomonodromy equations are

$$
\frac{d A_{0}}{d t}=\frac{\left[A_{0}, A_{t}\right]}{t}, \quad \frac{d A_{1}}{d t}=\frac{\left[A_{1}, A_{t}\right]}{t-1}, \quad A_{\infty}=\text { const }
$$

For $A_{0, t, 1}$ and $A_{\infty}:=-A_{0}-A_{t}-A_{1}$ traceless $2 \times 2$ matrices, with eigenvalues $\pm \theta_{0, t, 1, \infty}$, these equations are equivalent to Painlevé VI .

## Painlevé VI:

$\left(t(t-1) \zeta^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=-2 \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}2 \theta_{0}^{2} & t \zeta^{\prime}-\zeta & \zeta^{\prime}+\theta_{0}^{2}+\theta_{t}^{2}+\theta_{1}^{2}-\theta_{\infty}^{2} \\ t^{\prime}-\zeta & 2 \theta_{t}^{2} \\ \zeta^{\prime}+\theta_{0}^{2}+\theta_{t}^{2}+\theta_{1}^{2}-\theta_{\infty}^{2} & (t-1) \zeta^{\prime}-\zeta & (t-1) \zeta^{\prime}-\zeta\end{array}\right)$

- $\zeta(t)=(t-1) \operatorname{Tr} A_{0} A_{t}+t \operatorname{Tr} A_{1} A_{t}=t(t-1) \frac{d}{d t} \ln \tau$
- $\tau(t)$ is the Painlevé VI tau function

Geometric confluence diagram [Chekhov, Mazzocco, Rubtsov, '15]:


## Painlevé project:

- develop a general approach that would allow to derive systematically (asymptotic) series for PI-PV functions
- explicit expressions for coefficients of the series + connection formulas (in terms of monodromy of the associated linear problem)
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All classical "linear" special functions admit explicit representations. The Painlevé transcendents do not.
A. Fokas, A. Its, A. Kapaev, V. Novokshenov, Painlevé transcendents. The Riemann-Hilbert approach, (2006)


General solution of PVI [Gamayun, lorgov, OL, '12]:
PVI tau function is a Fourier transform of $c=1$ Virasoro conformal block:

$$
\tau(t)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i n \eta} \mathcal{B}(\vec{\theta}, \sigma+n, t)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i n \eta}{ }^{\theta_{\infty}} \begin{array}{r|r|r}
\theta_{1} & & \theta_{t}^{\theta_{t}} \\
\theta_{0}
\end{array}(t)
$$

- $\mathcal{B}(\vec{\theta}, \sigma, t)=t^{\sigma^{2}-\theta_{0}^{2}-\theta_{t}^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{k}(\vec{\theta}, \sigma) t^{k}$
- $B_{k}$ determined by commutation relations of Vir
- AGT correspondence [Alday, Gaiotto, Tachikawa, '09]:

$$
\mathcal{B}(t)=\mathcal{Z}_{\text {inst }}(t)=\begin{gathered}
\text { sum over pairs } \\
\text { of Young diagrams }
\end{gathered} \quad[\text { Nekrasov, '04] }
$$

Series representation for PVI tau function (proof in [Gavrylenko, OL, '16])

$$
\tau(t)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i n \eta} \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Y}} \mathcal{B}_{\lambda, \mu}(\vec{\theta}, \sigma+n) t^{(\sigma+n)^{2}+|\lambda|+|\mu|}
$$



- PVI, PV, PIII ${ }_{1,2,3}$ surfaces may be cut into solvable pieces


Gauss


Whittaker


Bessel

- More surprisingly, Fourier transform also appears in "irregular type" expansions for PI-PV at $t=\infty$.


## Riemann-Hilbert setup

- let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a circle centered at the origin
- pick a loop $J(z) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{GL}_{N}(\mathbb{C})\right)$
- $J(z)$ continues into an annulus $\mathcal{A} \supset \mathcal{C}$

$$
J(z)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} J_{k} z^{k},
$$



Two Riemann-Hilbert problems:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { direct }: & J(z)=\Psi_{-}(z)^{-1} \Psi_{+}(z) \\
\text { dual : } & J(z)=\bar{\Psi}_{+}(z) \bar{\Psi}_{-}(z)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Main definition: The tau function of RHPs defined by $(\mathcal{C}, J)$ is defined as Fredholm determinant

$$
\tau[J]=\operatorname{det}_{H_{+}}\left(\Pi_{+} J^{-1} \Pi_{+} J \Pi_{+}\right),
$$

where $H=L^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{C}^{N}\right)$ and $\Pi_{+}$is the orthogonal projection on $H_{+}$along $H_{-}$.

## Properties:

- dual RHP is solvable iff the operator $P:=\Pi_{+} J^{-1} \Pi_{+}$is invertible on $H_{+}$, in which case $P^{-1}=\bar{\psi}_{+} \Pi_{+} \bar{\Psi}_{-}^{-1} \Pi_{+}$
- likewise, for direct RHP and $Q:=\Pi_{+} J \Pi_{+}$, with $Q^{-1}=\Psi_{+}^{-1} \Pi_{+} \Psi_{-} \Pi_{+}$
- if either direct or dual RHP is not solvable, then $\tau[J]=0$
- $\tau[J]$ appears in the large size asymptotics of Toeplitz determinants with symbol $J$ and is called Widom's constant in this context

If the direct RHP is solvable, then $\tau[J]$ may also be written as

$$
\tau[J]=\operatorname{det}_{H}(1+K), \quad K=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & a_{+-} \\
a_{-+} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a_{ \pm \mp}=\Psi_{ \pm} \Pi_{ \pm} \Psi_{ \pm}^{-1}-\Pi_{ \pm}: H_{\mp} \rightarrow H_{ \pm}$are integral operators

$$
\left(a_{ \pm \mp} f\right)(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} a_{ \pm \mp}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) f\left(z^{\prime}\right) d z^{\prime}
$$

with block integrable kernels

$$
\mathrm{a}_{ \pm \mp}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)= \pm \frac{\mathbf{1}-\Psi_{ \pm}(z) \Psi_{ \pm}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{-1}}{z-z^{\prime}}
$$

In applications to Painlevé:

- $\Psi_{ \pm}$(direct factorization) are given and define the jump $J=\Psi_{-}{ }^{-1} \Psi_{+}$
- $\Psi_{ \pm}$are expressed via classical special functions (Gauss, Kummer \& Bessel for PVI, PV, PIII's)
- dual factorization $\left(\bar{\Psi}_{ \pm}\right.$in $\left.J=\bar{\Psi}_{+} \bar{\Psi}_{-}^{-1}\right)$ is the problem to be solved


## Differentiation formula

Theorem: Let $(z, t) \mapsto J(z, t)$ be a smooth family of GL ( $N, \mathbb{C}$ )-loops which depend on an extra parameter $t$ and admit direct \& dual factorization. Then

$$
\partial_{t} \ln \tau[J]=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{J^{-1} \partial_{t} J\left[\partial_{z} \bar{\Psi}_{-} \bar{\Psi}_{-}^{-1}+\Psi_{+}^{-1} \partial_{z} \Psi_{+}\right]\right\} d z
$$

- proof in [Widom, '74]; rediscovered by [Its, Jin, Korepin, '06]
- related results in the study of dependence of isomonodromic tau functions on monodromy [Bertola, '09]

Corollary: in isomonodromic RHPs,

$$
\text { Widom's constant } \tau[\mathrm{J}] \simeq \text { Jimbo-Miwa-Ueno tau function }
$$

Dual RHP $_{1}$ for $\tilde{\Psi}$


Dual $\mathrm{RHP}_{1}$ for $\tilde{\Psi}$


Dual $\mathrm{RHP}_{2}$ for $\hat{\psi}$


Dual $\mathrm{RHP}_{2}$ for $\hat{\psi}$


## Dual $\mathrm{RHP}_{3}$ for $\bar{\psi}$



- contour $\mathcal{C}$ (single circle !), smooth jump J : $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ given by

$$
J(z)=\Psi_{-}(z)^{-1} \Psi_{+}(z)=\bar{\Psi}_{+}(z) \bar{\Psi}_{-}(z)^{-1}
$$

- we are in the previously described setup!

Widom's differentiation formula implies that

$$
\partial_{t} \ln \tau[J]=\underbrace{\frac{\operatorname{Tr} A_{0} A_{t}}{t}+\frac{\operatorname{Tr} A_{t} A_{1}}{t-1}}_{\partial_{t} \ln \tau_{\mathrm{JMU}}(t)}-\frac{\operatorname{Tr} A_{0}^{+} A_{t}^{+}}{t}
$$

so that in turn

$$
\tau_{\mathrm{JMU}}(t)=t^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{2}-\Theta_{0}^{2}-\Theta_{t}^{2}\right)} \tau[J]
$$

- Recall that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau[J]=\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{1}+K), \quad K=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & a_{+-} \\
a_{-+} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
a_{ \pm \mp}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)= \pm \frac{\mathbf{1}-\Psi_{ \pm}(z) \Psi_{ \pm}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{-1}}{z-z^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

- $\tau_{\text {JMU }}(t)$ for 4-point system written via auxiliary 3-point solutions
- hypergeometric representations for $N=2 \Longrightarrow$ PVI tau function!

Schematically,


Similarly, for a linear system with 2 irregular singularities

## Series representations

Given $K \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}}$, we can expand Fredholm determinant
$\operatorname{det}(1+K)=\sum_{\mathfrak{Y} \in 2^{\mathfrak{X}}} \operatorname{det} K_{\mathfrak{Y}}=1+\sum_{m \in \mathfrak{X}} K_{m m}+\frac{1}{2!} \sum_{m, n \in \mathfrak{X}}\left|\begin{array}{ll}K_{m m} & K_{m n} \\ K_{n m} & K_{n n}\end{array}\right|+\ldots$

- our case: $K=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & a_{+-} \\ a_{-+} & 0\end{array}\right)$
- in the Fourier basis,

$$
\mathrm{a}_{ \pm \mp}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\prime}} a_{\mp q}^{ \pm p} z^{-\frac{1}{2} \pm p} z^{\prime-\frac{1}{2} \pm q}
$$

with $\mathrm{a}_{\neq q}^{ \pm p} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N}(\mathbb{C})$.

- multi-indices $m, n, \ldots$ of principal minors $\operatorname{det} K_{\mathfrak{Y}}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & a_{h}^{p} \\ a_{p}^{h} & 0\end{array}\right)$ incorporate color indices $\alpha=1, \ldots N$ and (half-)integer Fourier indices
$N=1$ case:

- combinatorial expansion

$$
\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{1}+K)=\sum_{(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{h})}(-1)^{|\mathrm{p}|} \operatorname{det} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{p}} \operatorname{det} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{h}},
$$

with balance condition $|\mathrm{p}|=|\mathrm{h}|$


- A Maya diagram is a map $\mathrm{m}: \mathbb{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow\{-1,1\}$ subject to the condition $\mathrm{m}(p)= \pm 1$ for all but finitely many $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{ \pm}^{\prime}$ (positions of particles and holes)
- Maya diagram $=$ charged partition/Young diagram
- charge $(m)=\sharp$ (particles) $-\sharp$ (holes)
- for $N=1$ principal minors are labeled by partitions


$\mathbf{Q}=(1,-1)$
- balanced configurations ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{h}$ ) are in bijection with N -tuples of Young diagrams of zero total charge
- $\mathbb{M}_{0}^{N} \cong \mathbb{Y}^{N} \times \mathfrak{Q}_{N}$, where $\mathfrak{Q}_{N}$ denotes the $A_{N-1}$ root lattice:

$$
\mathfrak{Q}_{N}:=\left\{\vec{Q} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N} \mid \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} Q_{\alpha}=0\right\} .
$$

- in the case $N=2$, Widom's constant

$$
\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{1}+K)=\sum_{(\lambda, \mu ; n) \in \mathbb{Y}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{|\mathfrak{p}|} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+-}\right)_{\lambda, \mu, n} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{a}_{-+}\right)_{\lambda, \mu, n}
$$

## Isomonodromic examples

Explicit computation of elementary determinants $\operatorname{det} a_{h}^{p}$, $\operatorname{det} a_{p}^{h}$ :

- a variant of Tracy-Widom conditions

$$
\partial_{z} \Psi_{ \pm}(z)=\Psi_{ \pm}(z) A_{ \pm}(z)+z^{-1} \Lambda_{ \pm}(z) \Psi_{ \pm}(z)
$$

with $A_{ \pm}(z)$ rational in $z$ and $\Lambda_{ \pm}(z)$ polynomial in $z^{ \pm 1}$.

- acting with $\mathcal{L}_{0}=z \partial_{z}+z^{\prime} \partial_{z^{\prime}}+1$ e.g. on

$$
\frac{1-\Psi_{+}(z) \Psi_{+}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{-1}}{z-z^{\prime}}=\sum_{p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\prime}} a_{-q}^{p} z^{-\frac{1}{2}+p} z^{\prime-\frac{1}{2}+q}
$$

yields a system of linear matrix equations on Fourier modes $\mathrm{a}_{-}{ }_{q}^{p}$ thanks to the fact that $\mathcal{L}_{0} \frac{1}{z-z^{\prime}}=0$.

- PVI, V , III semisimple cases $(N=2) \Longrightarrow$ Cauchy determinants

$$
\operatorname{det} \frac{f_{p, \alpha} g_{q, \beta}}{p+q+\sigma_{\alpha}+\sigma_{\beta}}
$$

## Conclusions

Arbitrary RHPs:

1. A tau function (= Widom's constant) can be assigned to "any" RHP.
2. Given the direct factorization of the jump matrix, $\tau[J]$ may be written as a Fredholm determinant with a block integrable kernel.
3. Principal minor expansion of this determinant in the Fourier basis leads to combinatorial series over tuples of partitions.
4. Results can be generalized to many-oval contour (e.g. Garnier system)

Isomonodromic RHPs:

1. In RHPs of isomonodromic origin, $\tau[J] \simeq \tau_{\text {JMU }}$, thanks to Widom's differentiation formula
2. Integral kernels and coefficients of combinatorial series can be computed explicitly when auxiliary solutions from the direct factorization have hypergeometric representations; in particular, for PVI, PV and PIIIs.
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Integrable kernel for $N=2$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{+-}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(1-z^{\prime}\right)^{2 \theta_{\mathbf{1}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
K_{++}(z) & K_{+-}(z) \\
K_{-+}(z) & K_{--}(z)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
K_{--}\left(z^{\prime}\right) & -K_{+-}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \\
-K_{-+}\left(z^{\prime}\right) & K_{++}\left(z^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right)-\mathbf{1}}{z-z^{\prime}}, \\
& a_{-+}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1-\left(1-\frac{t}{z^{\prime}}\right)^{2 \theta_{t}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{K}_{++}(z) & \bar{K}_{+-}(z) \\
\bar{K}_{-+}(z) & \bar{K}_{--}(z)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{K}_{--}\left(z^{\prime}\right) & -\bar{K}_{+-}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \\
-\bar{K}_{-+}\left(z^{\prime}\right) & \bar{K}_{++}\left(z^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right)}{z-z^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{ \pm \pm}(z)={ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{1}+\theta_{\infty} \pm \sigma, \theta_{1}-\theta_{\infty} \pm \sigma \\
\pm 2 \sigma
\end{array} ; z\right] \\
& K_{ \pm \mp}(z)= \pm \frac{\theta_{\infty}^{2}-\left(\theta_{1} \pm \sigma\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma(1 \pm 2 \sigma)} z_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1+\theta_{1}+\theta_{\infty} \pm \sigma, 1+\theta_{1}-\theta_{\infty} \pm \sigma \\
2 \pm 2 \sigma
\end{array} ; z\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\bar{K}_{ \pm \pm}(z)={ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{t}+\theta_{0} \mp \sigma, \theta_{t}-\theta_{0} \mp \sigma ; \frac{t}{z} \\
\mp 2 \sigma
\end{array}\right],
$$

$$
\bar{K}_{ \pm \mp}(z)=\mp t^{\mp 2 \sigma} e^{\mp i \eta} \frac{\theta_{0}^{2}-\left(\theta_{t} \mp \sigma\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma(1 \mp 2 \sigma)} \frac{t}{z}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1+\theta_{t}+\theta_{0} \mp \sigma, 1+\theta_{t}-\theta_{0} \mp \sigma \\
2 \mp 2 \sigma
\end{array} ; \frac{t}{z}\right] .
$$

Theorem [Gavrylenko, OL, '16]

The series expansion of Painlevé VI tau function around $t=0$ is given by

$$
\tau(t)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i n \eta} \mathcal{B}(\vec{\theta}, \sigma+n ; t),
$$

where the function $\mathcal{B}(\vec{\theta}, \sigma ; t)$ is explicitly given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}(\vec{\theta}, \sigma ; t) & =N_{\theta_{\infty}, \sigma}^{\theta_{1}} N_{\sigma, \theta_{0}}^{\theta_{t}} \sigma^{\sigma^{2}-\theta_{0}^{2}-\theta_{t}^{2}}(1-t)^{2 \theta_{t} \theta_{1}} \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Y}} \mathcal{B}_{\lambda, \mu}(\vec{\theta}, \sigma) t^{|\lambda|+|\mu|}, \\
\mathcal{B}_{\lambda, \mu}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma) & =\prod_{(i, j) \in \lambda} \frac{\left(\left(\theta_{t}+\sigma+i-j\right)^{2}-\theta_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\left(\theta_{1}+\sigma+i-j\right)^{2}-\theta_{\infty}^{2}\right)}{h_{\lambda}^{2}(i, j)\left(\lambda_{j}^{\prime}-i+\mu_{i}-j+1+2 \sigma\right)^{2}} \times \\
& \times \prod_{(i, j) \in \mu} \frac{\left(\left(\theta_{t}-\sigma+i-j\right)^{2}-\theta_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\left(\theta_{1}-\sigma+i-j\right)^{2}-\theta_{\infty}^{2}\right)}{h_{\mu}^{2}(i, j)\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}-i+\lambda_{i}-j+1-2 \sigma\right)^{2}}, \\
N_{\theta_{3}, \theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} & =\frac{\prod_{\epsilon= \pm} G\left(1+\theta_{3}+\epsilon\left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\right) G\left(1-\theta_{3}+\epsilon\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)\right)}{G\left(1-2 \theta_{1}\right) G\left(1-2 \theta_{2}\right) G\left(1+2 \theta_{3}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

